

Response to Restriction Requirement (with Traverse)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: April 18, 2011

Signature: 

(Donna Forbit)

Docket No.: 61135/P022US/10303187
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Craig Ogg

Application No.: 10/696,221

Confirmation No.: 9619

Filed: October 29, 2003

Art Unit: 3628

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PRINTING AN
APPLICATION OF DYNAMICALLY
VALUED INDICIA

Examiner: R. Wu

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed March 16, 2011 (Paper No. 20110309), applicant hereby provisionally elects claims 24-29, 31-34, 36-41 and 44 for continued examination, WITH TRAVERSE.

The Examiner has required restriction between:

- I. Claims 11, 14-20, 22, 23, 42, 43, 45-50, drawn to determining and applying postage indicia, classified in class 705, subclass 410.
- II. Claims 24-29, 31-34, 36-41 and 44, drawn to determining and applying postage indicia wherein no human readable portions of the valid postage labels display permit information, classified in class 705, subclass 408.

The Office Action asserts that claim groups I and II are distinct from each other because they are related as subcombinations usable together in a single combination and are separately usable. See item 2 on page 2 of the March 16, 2011 Office Action.